Social Science and Human Research Bulletin

ISSN(e): 3050-5542
ISSN(p): 3050-5534

Vol. 03(06): 676-682, June 2026

Home Page: http://sshrbjournal.org/

The Emphasis on Connectionism and Social Learning in Jamaica's National Standards Curriculum: A Critique of Its Marginalization of True Education.

Andrew Martin Miles
Northern Caribbean University

Article DOI: 10.55677/SSHRB/2026-3050-0606 **DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.55677/SSHRB/2026-3050-0606**

KEYWORDS: Connectionism, Social Learning Theory, National Standards Curriculum, Standardized Assessment, Holistic Education, Educational Philosophy.

Corresponding Author
Andrew Martin Miles

Published: June 26, 2026

ABSTRACT: This synthesis article critically examines the extent to which Jamaica's National Standards Curriculum (NSC) reflects the learning theories of Edward Thorndike and Lev Vygotsky while exploring the broader educational implications of its emphasis on measurable academic outcomes. Drawing on curriculum documents, educational theory, and scholarly literature, the article analyzes how Thorndike's principles of connectionism and reinforcement are embedded in standards-based instruction, competency development, and assessment practices. It also investigates the influence of Vygotsky's sociocultural theory through collaborative learning, scaffolding, and learner-centered pedagogical approaches. Collectively, these theoretical foundations have contributed to improved academic achievement and enhanced social interaction within Jamaican classrooms.

Despite these strengths, the article argues that the growing focus on standardized testing, performance indicators, and accountability measures has narrowed the broader aims of education. Through an examination of educational philosophy concerning the purpose of schooling, the discussion engages with the concept of "true education," defined as the wholistic development of learners intellectually, morally, culturally, socially, and personally. The article contends that an overreliance on quantifiable outcomes may marginalize important aspects of human development that are not easily measured through standardized assessments.

While the National Standards Curriculum (NSC) effectively promotes cognitive and social competencies, and provides attention to ethical reasoning, cultural identity, civic responsibility, and personal growth. The article concludes that educational excellence requires a more balanced approach that combines academic rigor with wholistic development, thereby preparing learners not only for academic and economic success, but also for meaningful, reflective, and socially responsible participation in society. The value of true education must be at the front of education reform and therefore it must be emphasized that true education is more than academic success. Education should prepare learners not only for careers and economic achievement, but also for personal growth, reflective thinking, and responsible participation in society. By developing knowledge, values, and social awareness together, education helps shape well-rounded individuals who can contribute positively to their communities.

While the Jamaica's National Standards Curriculum successfully integrates Thorndike's and Vygotsky's theories to enhance academic learning and social interaction, the Jamaican Education System has increasingly prioritized measurable outcomes over the broader purpose of "true education" thereby limiting students' moral, cultural, and personal development. This synthesis paper explores how the Jamaican National Standards Curriculum incorporates the learning theories of Thorndike and Vygotsky to promote academic achievement and collaborative learning. It examines the ways in which these theories are reflected in teaching practices,

curriculum design, and student assessment. The paper also investigates whether the education system's strong emphasis on standardized testing and measurable outcomes aligns with the broader goals of education. Drawing on educational philosophies, it considers the

concept of "true education," which extends beyond academic performance to include moral, cultural, and personal development. Furthermore, the essay analyzes the potential consequences of prioritizing examination success over holistic growth. Ultimately, it evaluates whether the Jamaican education system is achieving a balanced approach that prepares students not only for academic success but also for meaningful participation in society.

Education remains one of the most contested concepts in academic discourse, particularly regarding its purpose, methods, and outcomes. Contemporary curriculum reforms are frequently grounded in learning theories that seek to explain how knowledge is acquired and how learners develop cognitively and socially. Among the most influential educational theorists are Edward Thorndike and Lev Vygotsky, whose respective theories of Connectionism and Social Learning have significantly shaped modern pedagogical practice. Thorndike's work emphasized learning through stimulus-response associations, reinforcement, and the strengthening of neural connections through practice (Thorndike, 1913), while Vygotsky emphasized the sociocultural nature of learning, arguing that cognitive development occurs through social interaction, language, and guided participation within the Zone of Proximal Development (Vygotsky, 1978). These theoretical perspectives are evident in Jamaica's National Standards Curriculum (NSC), which promotes competency-based learning, collaborative engagement, critical thinking, and measurable learning outcomes.

Notwithstanding the apparent integration of these influential theories, questions remain regarding whether the Jamaican education system fully embodies the broader aims of what many educational philosophers describe as "true education, (Dewey, 1916; Freire, 1970). While the National Standards Curriculum seeks to improve academic achievement and twenty-first-century competencies, critics argue that modern educational reforms often prioritize standardization, assessment, and economic productivity over the wholistic development of the individual (Biesta, 2015; Freire, 1970).

Consequently, concerns arise as to whether the curriculum sufficiently cultivates moral reasoning, social consciousness, creativity, civic responsibility, and human flourishing. This paper examines the influence of Thorndike's Connectionism and Vygotsky's Social Learning Theory on Jamaica's National Standards Curriculum and critically evaluates whether the educational system has in its pursuit of measurable outcomes and competency development, overlooked the deeper philosophical foundations of true education. Through a critical analysis of curriculum policy, educational theory, and philosophical conceptions of education, this essay seeks to contribute to ongoing debates concerning the purpose of education in postcolonial Jamaica and the extent to which modern reforms foster the development of the whole person.

The Theory of Connectionism

Edward Thorndike’s theory of connectionism represents one of the earliest scientific attempts to explain the learning process and serves as a cornerstone of modern behaviourist thought. Thorndike posited that learning occurs through the establishment and strengthening of associations between stimuli and responses (S–R bonds). Through repeated interactions with the environment, learners develop behavioural patterns whereby successful responses are reinforced and retained, while unsuccessful responses are gradually eliminated. This process, commonly referred to as trial-and-error learning, conceptualizes learning as an adaptive mechanism through which individuals modify their behaviour based on experience and environmental feedback.

Central to Thorndike’s theoretical framework are the principles of the Law of Effect and the Law of Exercise, both of which have had a profound influence on educational theory and practice. The Law of Effect asserts that responses followed by satisfying or rewarding consequences are more likely to be repeated, whereas responses associated with unpleasant consequences are less likely to recur. This principle established a scientific basis for understanding motivation, reinforcement, and behavioural modification within educational settings. By demonstrating that learning is influenced by the consequences of actions, Thorndike provided educators with a framework for promoting desired learning outcomes through positive reinforcement, feedback, and recognition. Consequently, the Law of Effect remains evident in contemporary pedagogical practices such as formative assessment, performance-based evaluation, praise, and reward systems, all of which seek to strengthen desirable academic behaviours and enhance student engagement.

The Law of Exercise, which maintains that learning is strengthened through repeated use and weakened through abandonment. According to this principle, the frequency with which a learner engages with a concept, skill, or behaviour directly influences the strength of the associated neural and behavioural connections. Although subsequent research has refined Thorndike’s original formulation by emphasizing the quality rather than the mere quantity of practice, the principle continues to underpin educational approaches that emphasize rehearsal, application, and mastery learning. Within contemporary classrooms, the Law of Exercise is reflected in strategies such as guided practice, inquiry-based activities, skills reinforcement, and iterative learning experiences that promote the retention and transfer of knowledge across diverse contexts.

The combined influence of the Law of Effect and the Law of Exercise extends beyond behavioural learning and contributes significantly to curriculum design and instructional planning. Modern competency-based curricula emphasize continuous feedback, authentic assessment, and repeated opportunities for practice, thereby embodying Thorndike’s principles within contemporary

educational frameworks. These laws support the notion that meaningful learning occurs when students experience success and are provided with sustained opportunities to apply and refine their knowledge and skills. As a result, Thorndike's work established learning as a measurable and systematic process, bridging psychological theory and educational practice.

The Law of Effect and the Law of Exercise remain among Thorndike's most enduring contributions to educational psychology. Together, they provide a robust theoretical foundation for understanding how reinforcement and repetition influence learning, motivation, and performance. Their continued relevance in contemporary classrooms demonstrates the enduring value of Thorndike's connectionist perspective in informing effective teaching, curriculum implementation, and student achievement within modern educational systems.

In the National Standards Curriculum (NSC)**,** Thorndike's Law of Effect and Law of Exercise underpin competency-based learning by reinforcing successful learning experiences and promoting repeated application of knowledge and skills. The Law of Effect is reflected in formative assessment, feedback, and authentic learning tasks that strengthen desirable learning behaviours through positive outcomes, while the Law of Exercise is evident in the curriculum's emphasis on continuous practice, inquiry, and the transfer of competencies across contexts.

Within the 5E instructional model**,** these principles operate through engagement with prior knowledge, active exploration, conceptual clarification, extended application, and evaluative feedback, collectively fostering the reinforcement and consolidation of learning. Therefore, the 5E approach translates Thorndike's behavioural principles into a constructivist framework that supports deep understanding, mastery, and long-term competency development.

Thorndike's connectionist theory shifted education away from speculative philosophy toward experimental psychology. Through his studies of trial-and-error learning, he demonstrated that knowledge acquisition results from repeated stimulus-response associations reinforced through practice and feedback. Subsequently, contemporary instructional methods including mastery learning, programmed instruction, and formative assessment reflect Thorndike's emphasis on repetition, reinforcement, and measurable outcomes.

Thorndike's contributions to education were as seen transformative because he introduced a scientific approach to understanding how learning occurs and how teaching can be made more effective. Prior to Thorndike's work, educational practices were often based on tradition, philosophy, or personal beliefs rather than empirical evidence. Thorndike sought to apply the principles of psychology to educational practice, arguing that teaching methods should be grounded in scientific research on human learning.

Also, Thorndike's proposed that learning occurs through the formation of associations between stimuli and responses. For instance, in a Mathematics PEP lesson, students may repeatedly encounter word problems involving percentages and discounts. The stimulus is the mathematical problem presented in the examination format, while the response is the student's application of the correct procedure to solve it. Through continuous practice, feedback from teachers, and successful completion of similar questions, students begin to associate specific problem types with the appropriate solution strategies. When correct responses are reinforced through praise, improved scores, or a sense of achievement, the connection between the stimulus and the response is strengthened. As a result, when students encounter a similar percentage problem in the actual PEP examination, they are more likely to recall and apply the correct method automatically. This example illustrates both Thorndike's Law of Effect**,** because successful problem-solving is reinforced by positive outcomes, and the Law of Exercise**,** because repeated practice strengthens the stimulus–response connection, leading to improved performance on the PEP examination.

He also made contributions to educational measurement and psychometrics as he believed that educational achievement and intellectual abilities could be measured objectively through standardized assessments. His work helped establish the field of educational testing and promoted the systematic evaluation of student performance. This emphasis on measurement encouraged educators and policymakers to use data to assess learning outcomes, monitor student progress, and evaluate the effectiveness of educational programmes. Many modern assessment systems, including standardized examinations and competency-based evaluations, reflect principles that originated from Thorndike's research. Thorndike's influence extended to curriculum development. He advocated for organizing learning experiences in a logical sequence, moving from simple concepts to more complex ones. This approach contributed to the design of structured curricula with clearly defined objectives and measurable learning outcomes. Contemporary competency-based curricula, including those that emphasize standards and performance indicators, demonstrate the continuing influence of his ideas. His work laid the foundation for the behaviourist movement in psychology (Thorndike, 1921; Franklin, 1976). His emphasis on observable behaviour, reinforcement, and environmental influences on learning influenced later scholars, particularly B. F. Skinner, who expanded these ideas through the theory of operant conditioning. Through this legacy, Thorndike helped establish evidence-based teaching practices that remain central to educational psychology and instructional design. Thorndike's greatest contribution was bridging the gap between psychological science and educational practice. He demonstrated that learning could be studied systematically and that research findings could inform classroom instruction Mayer, 2008) and (Slavin. (2018). As a result, he helped transform education from a field guided largely by philosophical speculation into one increasingly informed by scientific inquiry. His ideas continue to shape teaching methods, curriculum design, educational assessment, and educational research.

Thorndike's contributions to educational psychology continue to influence contemporary curriculum reform, including the implementation of Jamaica's National Standards Curriculum (NSC). Grounded in principles of reinforcement, practice, measurable learning outcomes, and the systematic assessment of student performance, Thorndike's Connectionist theory is reflected in the competency-based orientation of the. National Standards Curriculum Introduced in pilot form in 2016 and formally implemented beginning in 2018, the National Standards Curriculum represents a significant shift in Jamaican education from a content-driven curriculum to one focused on the development and assessment of specific competencies, skills, values, and attitudes required for lifelong learning and national development. The curriculum's emphasis on clearly defined standards, progressive skill acquisition, and evidence-based assessment demonstrates the enduring relevance of Thorndike's belief that learning can be strengthened through structured instruction, practice, and continuous feedback.

As of 2026, the National Standards Curriculum remains in a phased implementation process, reflecting the complexity of transforming an entire educational system from traditional content coverage to competency-based learning. The gradual rollout has allowed the Ministry of Education to provide ongoing teacher professional development, develop curriculum resources, strengthen assessment mechanisms, and respond to implementation challenges identified through monitoring and evaluation processes. This phased approach recognizes that meaningful educational reform requires not only curriculum redesign, but also changes in pedagogical practices, assessment culture, school leadership, and institutional capacity. The implementation and effectiveness of the National Standards Curriculum are monitored through multiple accountability and quality-assurance mechanisms. These include classroom observations conducted by principals and education officers, school inspections, and the use of national assessment instruments such as the Grade Three Diagnostic Test, the Primary Exit Profile (PEP), and the Grade Nine Achievement Test (GNAT). Additionally, feedback from teachers, curriculum specialists, and Curriculum Implementation Teams contributes to ongoing curriculum refinement. The utilization of the Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound, (SMART) Observation Monitoring Instrument further supports the evaluation of instructional quality by assessing the extent to which teachers facilitate competency development and achieve prescribed learning outcomes. These monitoring systems reflect Thorndike's longstanding emphasis on educational measurement and objective evaluation as essential components of effective teaching and learning. However, the extensive reliance on assessment, monitoring, and performance indicators within the National Standards Curriculum raises important philosophical questions concerning the purpose of education. While such mechanisms provide valuable data for improving instructional effectiveness and student achievement, critics argue that an overemphasis on measurable outcomes may risk reducing education to a process of performance management rather than fostering wholistic human development.

Consequently, the Jamaican education system faces the challenge of balancing accountability and competency acquisition with broader educational aims, including creativity, critical consciousness, moral development, citizenship, and social transformation. This tension reflects a broader global debate regarding whether contemporary curriculum reforms sufficiently embody the deeper principles of what educational philosophers describe as "true education" or whether they privilege efficiency, standardization, and economic productivity over the development of the whole person. According to Dewey (1916), Freire (1970), Apple (2004), and Noddings (2005), education should foster holistic human development rather than focus exclusively on standardized measures of achievement. Although Thorndike’s theory of connectionism sounds ideal for learning, especially in the Jamaican space, his work has several weaknesses. One of Thorndike’s assertions the Formal Discipline Theory argued that studying difficult subjects such as Latin, mathematics, or philosophy strengthened the mind in a general way, much like exercising a muscle.

Supporters believed that mental faculties like memory, reasoning, and attention could be universally improved through rigorous practice. However, through systematic experimentation, Thorndike’s demonstrated that learning does not improve the mind as a whole, but rather strengthens specific stimulus response connections. Improvement in one task does not necessarily lead to improvement in another unless the two tasks share common elements.

Thorndike’s example of training students extensively in Latin may improve their ability to translate Latin texts, but it does not automatically enhance their general reasoning or problem-solving abilities (Thorndike, 1913). This finding directly contradicts the core assumption of Formal Discipline Theory. Thorndike’s research showed that transfer is limited and specific, occurring only when learning situations contain identical or similar elements. The idea that studying abstract subjects inherently strengthens reasoning power ignores the fact that reasoning itself is context-bound. A student trained to solve algebraic equations does not necessarily become better at solving everyday practical problems unless those problems involve similar processes and elements.

The Formal Discipline approach overemphasizes mental drill and rigor while neglecting meaning and application. Repetitive exercises may improve performance on practiced tasks, but they do little to prepare learners for real-world situations. Thorndike’s criticism highlights the inefficiency of training students in abstract mental exercises with the expectation that benefits will generalize automatically.

Education based on such assumptions wastes valuable instructional time and often fails to meet learners‟ practical needs. In addition, Thorndike’s Formal Discipline approach for its lack of scientific grounding (Judd, 1908) as empirical evidence does not support the claim that training in specific subjects automatically leads to the development of general mental faculties such as reasoning or memory. The theory was largely philosophical and speculative, whereas Thorndike’s conclusions were based on controlled experiments and measurable outcomes. To elaborate on these two weaknesses of Thorndike’s Formal Discipline approach

the implications for the organization of schoolroom practices must be taken into consideration. If transfer of training depends on identical elements, then classroom instruction must be deliberately designed to include such elements. Teaching should focus on specific skills, concepts, and habits that students will actually need in real-life situations. Rather than assuming that mental discipline will occur automatically, teachers should explicitly teach how and where learned skills can be applied. Classroom practices should emphasize learning by doing and the use of real-world examples. Students should practice skills in varied, but related contexts so that common elements are recognized and strengthened. For instance, mathematical instruction should include practical problem-solving situations drawn from daily life, science, and economics.

Curriculum organization should be based on clear objectives and functional outcomes rather than on traditional disciplinary prestige. Instruction should encourage understanding over rote memorization. Meaningful learning helps students identify similarities between situations, thereby increasing the likelihood of transfer. Teachers should also integrate subjects where possible, highlighting shared concepts and processes across different areas of study.

Thorndike's criticisms of the Formal Discipline approach are well-founded and supported by scientific evidence. The assumption that general mental faculties can be trained through abstract discipline is inconsistent with how learning actually occurs. Thorndike's theory of transfer of training provides a more realistic and effective basis for education, emphasizing specific learning, meaningful application, and shared elements between training and use.

Organizing schoolroom practices in accordance with these principles leads to more relevant, efficient, and purposeful education. Thorndike's theory of trial-and-error learning explains that learning occurs through repeated attempts, where incorrect responses are gradually eliminated and successful responses are reinforced until they become habitual. This theory was grounded in scientific experimentation in psychology and animal behavior, emphasizing observable and measurable evidence rather than relying on religious or non-empirical explanations of learning.

Social Learning Theory

Vygotsky postulated the Sociocultural Theory**,** which states that learning and cognitive development occur through social interaction, language, and guidance from more knowledgeable people. (Vygotsky, 1978; Daniels, 2016).

Vygotsky's sociocultural theory of learning, particularly its emphasis on student- centred pedagogy, has become foundational in 21st-century education. Today, especially in the Jamaican education system, this theoretical foundation is widely used. The Central concepts such as the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), scaffolding, and mediated learning have reshaped classroom practice toward collaborative, group-oriented context and learner-driven approaches. However, while influential, Vygotsky's framework is not without limitations when applied to contemporary classrooms, a few weaknesses such as the conceptual ambiguity and operational difficulty of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), over-reliance on teacher expertise in scaffolding, and the challenges of equitable implementation in diverse and resource-constrained classrooms will be examined as it relates to daily professional practice.

A central limitation of Vygotsky's student - centred learning approach lies in the theoretical flexibility, but practical vagueness of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), is defined as the distance between what a learner can do independently and what they can achieve thereby increasing the likelihood of transfer. Teachers should also integrate subjects where possible, highlighting shared concepts and processes across different areas of study. While theoretically powerful, this information lacks precise operational criteria for classroom implementation. As a result, teachers often struggle to accurately identify a learner's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), in real time, especially in heterogeneous classrooms with large student populations. In many cases, the teachers are not able to link theory to practice and many learners are left on a conveyer belt to enforce the implementation process and to complete the scheduled tasks from prescribed curriculums. The National Standard

Curriculum designed for the Jamaican education system strongly aligns with Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). The Jamaican NSC incorporates this idea through learner-centred instruction, scaffolding, differentiated teaching, and competency-based learning. Empirical critiques suggest that the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), is frequently interpreted post hoc rather than used as a measurable instructional tool (Chaiklin, 2003). This ambiguity can lead to inconsistent pedagogical decisions, where scaffolding is either insufficient or excessively directive. In 21st- century classrooms, where data-driven instruction and accountability measures dominate, this lack of precision creates tension between theory and practice. Teachers are increasingly required to triangulate informal observation with digital assessment tools, yet these tools cannot fully capture the dynamic, context-dependent nature of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD).

Consequently, teachers may shift away from authentic Vygotskian instruction toward standardized differentiation models that are easier to quantify, thereby weakening the original intent of learner-centred developmental progression.

A second weakness of Vygotsky's approach is its strong reliance on the teacher's ability to accurately diagnose learners" current developmental levels and provide appropriate scaffolding within the learner's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). In practice, this expectation can be difficult to achieve consistently, particularly in diverse classrooms where teachers must simultaneously attend to learners with varying abilities, backgrounds, and learning needs. Critics argue that Vygotsky offers limited

guidance regarding how educators can precisely identify the boundaries of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), or determine the optimal amount and timing of support required for individual learners. Consequently, ineffective or excessive scaffolding may hinder the development of learner autonomy, while insufficient support may fail to facilitate cognitive advancement (Daniels, 2016; Chaiklin, 2003).

This expectation can be unrealistic in modern education systems characterized by large class sizes, curriculum constraints, and administrative pressures. Research indicates that effective scaffolding requires high levels of pedagogical content knowledge and adaptive expertise, which vary significantly among teachers (Hammond & Gibbons, 2005). Inexperienced teachers may struggle to balance cognitive challenge with appropriate support, resulting in either over-scaffolding (reducing learner autonomy) or under-scaffolding (causing cognitive overload). In contemporary classrooms, this limitation has led to increased reliance on scripted curricula, learning management systems, and AI-based adaptive platforms.

While these tools can support instruction, they also risk mechanizing scaffolding, reducing the responsive, interpersonal mediation that Vygotsky emphasized. Thus, teacher practice becomes constrained between idealized pedagogical responsiveness and institutional demands for standardization and efficiency.

Finally, Vygotsky's framework presumes that learning is optimized through interaction with more knowledgeable others; however, it does not fully address inequities in participation within group learning contexts. Culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms, students may experience unequal opportunities to participate in dialogue due to language barriers, cultural norms regarding authority, or varying levels of confidence (Mercer, 2000). Similarly, research in inclusive education highlights that group-based tasks can marginalize neurodiverse learners if roles and expectations are not explicitly structured (Florian, 2014). In the era of digital education this challenge is intensified by digital learning environments. Online collaboration tools can either enhance participation or exacerbate exclusion, depending on access, digital literacy, and instructional design. Teachers therefore face the additional responsibility of designing inclusive scaffolding structures that account not only for cognitive development but also for socio-digital equity. This expands the teacher's role from facilitator of learning to designer of equitable participation systems, significantly increasing pedagogical complexity. These limitations demonstrate that while Vygotsky's student-centred model remains influential, its application in contemporary classrooms is neither straightforward nor universally equitable.

True Education

Although Thorndike and Vygotsky offer robust explanatory mechanisms for how learning occurs, Ellen G. White's "true education" provides a normative framework that defines why education should occur, positioning student - centred education as most complete when it harmonizes behavioural reinforcement, sociocultural development, and character formation.

Ellen G. White was a 19th-century American religious writer, co-founder of the Seventh-day Adventist movement, and one of the most influential figures in the development of Adventist education. She is best known for her extensive writings on health, spirituality, and particularly education, especially her book „*Education,* (1903), which outlines her philosophy of "true education." In her view, education should not be limited to academic achievement or vocational training, but should aim at the wholistic development of the individual intellectually, morally, physically, and spiritually. White argued that the purpose of education is the restoration of the "Image of God" in human beings, emphasizing character development, service to others, and the cultivation of moral responsibility. White conceptualized education as the harmonious development of the intellectual, social, moral, physical, and spiritual dimensions of the individual, arguing that authentic learning extends beyond mere acquisition of information toward character formation and purposeful service. Thorndike's learner - centred orientation emerges through the recognition that students construct understanding through interaction with their environment rather than passive reception of knowledge, while Vygotsky's sociocultural theory deepens this conception by situating learning within relational, cultural, and processes that align closely with White's emphasis on community, mentorship, and wholistic human development through the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) thereby increasing the likelihood of transfer. Teachers should also integrate subjects where possible, highlighting shared concepts and processes across different areas of study.

Thorndike and Vygotsky offer complementary yet philosophically distinct foundations for understanding student-centred education, both of which illuminate Ellen G. White's conception of "true education."

A critical synthesis of Edward Thorndike's Formal Discipline approach and, Lev Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), along with Ellen G. White's philosophy of "true education" reveal converging yet multidimensional understanding of learning that extends beyond the transmission of discrete academic content. Thorndike's rejection of the Formal Discipline doctrine and his emphasis on learning through specific stimulus response connections contrasts sharply with Lev Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which conceptualizes learning as a socially mediated process in which cognitive growth emerges through guided participation and scaffolded interaction. Whereas Thorndike viewed learning as the incremental strengthening of task-specific associations through practice and reinforcement, Vygotsky regarded development as a dynamic process whereby higher - order cognitive functions are internalized through collaboration with more knowledgeable others, highlighting the central role of culture, language, and social context in intellectual advancement.

On the other hand, White's concept of "true education," expands the horizon further by asserting that education must

cultivate moral, spiritual, and wholistic human formation, not merely cognitive competence or behavioural adaptation. When integrated, these perspectives suggest that effective education cannot be reduced to instructional efficiency or measurable outcomes alone; rather, it is a dynamic and layered process involving behavioural reinforcement, socially mediated cognition, and the formation of ethical and purposeful character. This triangulation ultimately supports the conclusion that education is most effective when it nurtures the whole learner intellectually, socially, and morally; rather than privileging fragmented or purely performance-based indicators of success.

The findings of this analysis support the proposition that true education is achieved when cognitive, social, moral, and spiritual dimensions of human development are integrated within teaching and learning processes, thereby enabling learners to become skilled, reflective, ethical, spiritual, and socially engaged citizens in an increasingly complex global society.

REFERENCES

1. Chaiklin, S. (2003). The zone of proximal development in Vygotsky's analysis of learning and instruction.
2. Daniels, H. (2016). Vygotsky and pedagogy *(2nd ed.). Routledge.*
3. Dewey, J. (1916). Democracy and Education. Macmillan.
4. Florian, L. (2014). The SAGE handbook of special education (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
5. Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the Oppressed. Continuum.
6. Franklin, B. M. (1976). Curriculum thought and social meaning: Edward L. Thorndike and the curriculum field. Educational Theory, *26*(3), 298–309. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-5446.1976.tb00738.x
7. Gindis, B. (2003). Remediation through education: Sociocultural theory in practice. In A. Kozulin, B. Gindis, V. S. Ageyev, & S. M. Miller (Eds.), *Vygotsky's educational theory in cultural context* (pp. 39–64). Cambridge University Press.
8. Hammond, J., & Gibbons, P. (2005). What is scaffolding? In A. Burns & H. de Silva Joyce (Eds.), Judd, C. H. (1908). The relation of special training to general intelligence. Educational Review, 36, 28–42.
9. Mayer, R. E. (2008). Learning and instruction (2nd ed.). Pearson.
10. Mercer, N. (2000). Words and minds: How we use language to think together. Routledge.
11. Noddings, N. (2005). The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press.
12. Slavin, R. E. (2018). Educational psychology: Theory and practice (12th ed.). Pearson.
13. Teachers‟ voices 8: Explicitly supporting reading and writing in the classroom (pp. 8–16). National Centre for English Language Teaching and Research