

Social Science and Human Research Bulletin

ISSN(e): 3050-5542

ISSN(p): 3050-5534

Vol. 03(11): 1395-1402, November 2026

Home Page: http://sshrbjournal.org/

How Educational Leaders Can Promote The Importance Of Teaching Civic Education In K–12 Schools

Dr. David Vázquez-González
University of Puerto Rico

Article DOI: 10.55677/SSHRB/2026-3050-1106 **DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.55677/SSHRB/2026-3050-1106**

KEYWORDS: civic education, democratic participation, K–12 education, citizenship, civic engagement, civic leadership, and professional learning communities (PLCs)

ABSTRACT: Civic education is a fundamental component of a democratic society because it prepares students with the knowledge, skills, and values needed for informed participation in government and community life. As political polarization, misinformation, and declining civic participation continue to challenge democratic institutions, K–12 schools play an increasingly important role in developing responsible citizens. This paper examines the importance of teaching civic education in K–12 schools as a beacon of democracy by exploring its role in developing informed citizens, strengthening democratic values, promoting critical thinking, encouraging civic participation, and strategies for strengthening civic instruction in elementary and secondary schools. Current research indicates that effective civic education increases civic knowledge, political efficacy, critical thinking, and community engagement while fostering respect for diverse viewpoints and democratic principles. Schools that provide opportunities for discussion, service learning, and inquiry-based instruction help students become active participants in democratic processes. Expanding civic education across K–12 schools is essential to sustaining democracy and preparing future generations to address complex social and political challenges. Strengthening civic education throughout elementary and secondary education is essential for preserving democratic institutions and ensuring that future generations possess the knowledge and skills necessary to address complex political and social issues. School leaders must use civic leadership to help the school community implement civic education. Civic leadership can help school leaders create an educational environment that provides the learning opportunities students need to learn about civic life.

Corresponding Author
Dr. David Vázquez-González

Published: November 16, 2026

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license:
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

INTRODUCTION

The central purpose of civic education should be to prepare young people to participate actively and skillfully in democracy to address problems and develop solutions. Civics can give our students the skills to recognize the experiences and perspectives of different groups in our society. Schools have a fundamental responsibility not only to prepare students academically but also to prepare them to participate knowledgeably and responsibly in democratic society. Civic education is therefore an essential component of the mission of K–12 education. Educational aims should focus on developing competent citizens to participate in a democratic system. Civic education prepares students with the competencies and skills necessary to participate effectively in the political and civic life of a nation. The implementation of robust and contextualized civic education curriculum is fundamental for the development of a society that promotes citizenry in a democratic society. Democracy requires citizens who understand how government functions, how laws are created, and how public policies affect society. Without this knowledge, individuals may struggle to evaluate political information, recognize misinformation, or participate meaningfully in elections and public discourse. Civic education dates back to the emergence of ancient cities, in which the State was structured as a political instrument that responded to the interests and needs of those who considered themselves "citizens", who became bearers of rights and duties (Sáez,

2001). In the Golden Period of Hellenic Greece, Plato stands out, a renowned thinker who defended the need for the education of the citizen for the formation of the virtuous man, prepared in the exercise of a profession or trade. These virtues were cultivated in paideia where wisdom, courage, temperance, and justice were encouraged (Saez, 2001). It is worth highlighting Aristotle, who in his political work points out the need to prepare young people for public life through which citizenship was exercised (Sáez, 2001). From their origin, public educational institutions have aimed to balance those inequalities that the social system perpetuates with its inheritance laws and the new nobility founded on surnames. Aristotle glimpsed with his usual insight, the intimate connection between constitutional democracy (polity), ethics as a cultivation of virtues and education, transmitted through the family in its beginning, and then through institutions such as the Lyceum that he founded or the Platonic Academy in which he was educated. Only the state (polis), Aristotle thought, the human being could fully realize his potential and achieve happiness (eudaimonia), the most precious and passionately sought good. The intellectual virtue of wisdom was inseparable for Aristotle from the moral virtues. Knowing and doing the right thing were only possible when the intelligence reasoned accurately according to the singular circumstance and the desire was right guided by the right mean. Only wisdom as a practice of reason and education could be the foundation of constitutional democracy that would be the natural habitat of the wise to cultivate his own reason and virtue. Aristotelian democracy, the one he could defend, was one that respected the rights of citizens and in which the most qualified governed for the common good with the consent of the majority population.

For Dewey, the fundamental factors of education are two: on the one hand, an undeveloped, immature being and, on the other, certain social purposes, ideas, values that are managed through the mature experience of the adult. The proper process of education consists in the interaction of these two forces. The essence of educational theory is found in the conception of each of them in relation to the other, to facilitate their complete and free interaction. (Dewey, 1959).

Dewey pointed out that children did not come to school as clean passive chalkboards on which teachers could write lessons in any subject. By the time the student arrives in the classroom "he is already intensely active, and the task of education is to take charge of this activity and guide it" (Dewey, 1899, p. 25). When the child begins schooling, he carries within him four "innate impulses—to communicate, to build, to inquire, and to express himself more precisely"—which constitute "the natural resources, the capital for investment, on the exercise of which the active growth of the child depends" (Dewey, 1899, p. 30). The child also carries with him or her interests and activities from his or her home and the environment in which he or she lives, and it is the teacher's task to use this "raw material" to guide activities toward "positive outcomes" (Mayhew & Edwards, 1966, p. 41). Dewey emphasized in 1896 that "the school is the only form of social life that functions abstractly and in a controlled environment, that is directly experimental, and if philosophy is to become an experimental science, the construction of a school is its starting point" (Dewey, 1896a, p. 244).

The subjects to be taught, as well as the dispositions of character and skills to be developed, are ultimately justified by their intellectual, moral, or aesthetic value. In modern education, orality and writing are, sadly, arid deserts that demand irrigation. The production of articulated oral discourse, as well as correct and coherent writing, has to be reconceived as permanent ends of any education in the long term. Cultivating the pleasure of reading is the enormous challenge for any public system. Listening is the most neglected and misunderstood linguistic skill. Dewey bet on the education of the subject to live and care for participatory democracy, and defended in his own vital practice democratic coexistence to protect the growth of individual subjects. The education of citizens is essential for intelligent and axiologically defensible participation and, on the other hand, saw the critical opening of democratic forms the model of coexistence for educational classrooms.

Relegating the arts in any curriculum is like amputating one of the human being's inalienable assets. It is to take away from the imagination its playful function, to take away from the senses its aesthetic enjoyment, to empty the emotions of their passion and, contrary to what is thought, it is to deprive reason of a vital cognitive dimension. The arts in their various forms are ductile contents for the teaching of ethical and aesthetic values and, consequently, for the education of emotions. Literary forms – drama, poetry and narrative – have a prominent place in the formation of both cognitive intelligence and emotional intelligence.

How do we develop those character skills (dispositions), so central to educational training and intellectual and civic life? Education is, above all, an ethical project for the formation of human beings, in favor of critical resistance against the unacceptable, the unjust, what cannot and should not be. These beings must deliberate on the foundations of their prejudices: racism, misogyny, homophobia, on the morality of wars, the arrogance of power, the legitimacy of authority, the foundations of inequities, to deliberate reflexively on how much of ideology and how much of science there is in my presumed knowledge and beliefs, and so many other deliberations that are based on the formation of dispositions of character.

This civic education must be oriented towards social justice. The process for achieving social justice is necessarily democratic because it is inclusive, respectful of human diversity, and affirms the ability of people to join with others to create change for the collective well-being. In his work "Pedagogy of the Oppressed", the educator Paulo Freire says that the oppressed masses must be aware of their reality and must commit themselves, in practice, to their transformation.

The term civic education is associated with the transmission and development of values, and as citizen education it not only includes this dimension (that of values), but also the formation of competencies for participation in the public space in a democratic system. Democracies depend on active citizens, because democratic national and local governments cannot be legitimately formed or controlled without active citizens: solving collective problems fairly requires that citizens are able and willing to participate. Active

citizens have an interest in maintaining a democratic culture and democratic institutions, as it gives them freedoms, rights and better opportunities to promote collective goods such as justice. As such, there is a reciprocal relationship between democratic institutions and the degree to which citizens are well informed, empowered, and prepared to participate (Eidhof, B. & de Ruyter, D., 2022).

Democracy depends upon informed citizens who understand their rights, responsibilities, and roles within society. Unlike authoritarian governments, democratic systems rely on citizens who actively participate in elections, community service, public discussions, and decision-making processes. Civic education provides the educational foundation that enables students to become responsible citizens capable of protecting democratic institutions and promoting the common good. In today's rapidly changing political environment, civic education has become increasingly important as societies confront challenges including political polarization, misinformation, declining civic participation, and reduced public trust in government. Research consistently demonstrates that effective civic education strengthens democratic knowledge, civic engagement, and political participation among young people. Fitzgerald et al. (2021) found that effective civic education programs improve civic knowledge while encouraging students to engage in democratic practices that strengthen social cohesion and reduce political polarization. Another important benefit of civic education is the development of critical thinking skills. Modern democracies face increasing challenges related to misinformation and the rapid spread of information through digital media. Civic education teaches students to analyze multiple perspectives, evaluate evidence, distinguish credible sources from unreliable information, and make informed decisions. These skills enable students to become thoughtful consumers of information and responsible participants in democratic processes. Inquiry-based civic instruction encourages students to investigate public issues rather than simply memorize facts, leading to deeper understanding and stronger civic commitment.

Civic education extends beyond teaching students about the three branches of government or the Constitution. It emphasizes developing critical thinking, civic responsibility, ethical decision-making, communication skills, and respect for diverse perspectives. Students learn not only how government functions but also how citizens can influence public policy, advocate for change, and contribute positively to their communities. These experiences prepare young people to participate meaningfully in democratic life throughout adulthood. Recent systematic reviews conclude that high-quality civic education increases civic knowledge while encouraging democratic participation and reducing political polarization among youth.

In democratic societies, schools have a fundamental responsibility to prepare students to become informed, responsible, and engaged citizens. Civic education equips students with the knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to understand democratic institutions, participate in civic life, respect diverse perspectives, and contribute to their communities. However, increasing political polarization, declining civic participation, and misinformation have heightened concerns about the civic readiness of young people. Educational leaders play a critical role in addressing these challenges by establishing comprehensive civic education programs that foster democratic values and civic engagement. Principals, district leaders, and teacher leaders influence school culture, curriculum implementation, professional development, and community partnerships that collectively strengthen civic learning.

Community engagement represents another important outcome of civic education. Students who participate in service-learning projects, student government, volunteer activities, and community-based problem-solving develop stronger connections to their communities. These experiences allow students to apply classroom learning to real-world situations while building leadership skills and civic responsibility. Civic engagement during adolescence is associated with greater civic participation in adulthood, including voting, volunteering, and community leadership.

Educational leaders establish the vision for civic learning within their schools. Their commitment to democratic education influences curriculum, instructional practices, professional learning, and school culture. Effective leaders communicate that civic education is not limited to social studies classrooms but is integrated throughout the curriculum and embedded within daily school experiences. Instructional leaders promote civic education by incorporating civic learning into school improvement plans, supporting interdisciplinary instruction, allocating resources for civic initiatives, and encouraging teachers to implement inquiry-based learning. Principals also model democratic leadership by involving teachers, students, and families in collaborative decision-making processes. Such practices demonstrate democratic principles while building trust among stakeholders. Students who receive high-quality civic education demonstrate greater political knowledge, increased civic efficacy, stronger intentions to vote, and higher levels of community involvement (Martens & Gainous, 2013). Research also demonstrates that civic education is most effective when students actively participate in discussions of current issues, analyze multiple perspectives, engage in service learning, and collaborate to solve authentic community problems. These instructional practices help students connect classroom learning to democratic participation and social responsibility (Fitzgerald et al., 2021).

Educational leaders also create opportunities for students to develop leadership skills through student government, service-learning projects, debate clubs, community partnerships, and civic engagement activities. These authentic experiences enable students to practice democratic participation while developing communication, collaboration, and problem-solving skills.

Principals who promote civic education should conceptualize their schools as democratic learning communities in which democracy is not merely studied but practiced through everyday relationships, decision-making, dialogue, and participation (Lee et al., 2021). School principals occupy a particularly influential position in the implementation of civic education because they establish priorities, allocate resources, support teachers, shape school culture, and communicate expectations regarding curriculum and instruction.

The National Association of Elementary School Principals emphasizes that principals play an essential role in promoting civic literacy, particularly because social studies and civic learning have historically received less instructional attention than other subject areas in many elementary schools (NAESP, 2023). Principals' professional knowledge, beliefs about social studies, instructional leadership practices, and ability to protect instructional time can therefore significantly influence how civic education is implemented within schools (NAESP, 2023). Civic leadership begins when principals explicitly identify civic education as a core component of the school's mission rather than treating it as an optional or peripheral activity.

EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND CIVIC EDUCATION

School leaders are central to creating the conditions in which effective civic education can flourish. First, principals should establish a clear civic vision for the school. This vision should articulate what students should know, be able to do, and demonstrate as civic participants by the time they graduate. A school civic mission might emphasize informed participation, respect for differing perspectives, community responsibility, democratic problem solving, and commitment to the common good.

Second, leaders should conduct a civic education needs assessment. This assessment should examine curriculum, instructional practices, student opportunities, professional development, school climate, extracurricular activities, and community partnerships. Research indicates that access to civic learning opportunities varies across schools and student populations, making equity an important leadership concern (Savage & Ikoma, 2025). School leaders should therefore determine which students have access to meaningful civic experiences and which students do not.

Third, leaders should establish a K–12 civic learning progression. Civic education should begin in elementary school rather than waiting until middle or high school. Younger students can learn democratic practices through classroom decision-making, community-building activities, school responsibilities, and age-appropriate discussions of fairness and rules. Middle school students can participate in deliberations, simulations, local investigations, and service-learning. High school students can engage in policy research, civic action projects, public forums, mock trials, voter education, and community problem solving.

Civic leadership in education can be understood as the capacity of school leaders to cultivate democratic knowledge, participation, dialogue, responsibility, and community engagement among students, educators, families, and community members. Civic leadership extends beyond traditional administrative responsibilities because it requires principals to connect the purposes of schooling with the broader democratic purposes of public education. Recent scholarship on civic reasoning emphasizes that students need opportunities to examine complex civic, political, and social questions and develop the capacity to reason about disagreements using evidence and multiple perspectives (Lee et al., 2021). Thus, principals who promote civic education should conceptualize their schools as democratic learning communities in which democracy is not merely studied but practiced through everyday relationships, decision-making, dialogue, and participation (Lee et al., 2021).

Civic education is most powerful when students experience democracy in the daily life of the school. School leaders should create structures through which students have meaningful opportunities to express their perspectives, participate in decision-making, deliberate with others, and contribute to solving school problems. Student voice should therefore move beyond symbolic participation toward genuine shared responsibility.

Research also suggests that leadership matters. Kahne et al. (2025) found that principals who were more civically engaged and who received clear signals from district leadership about the importance of civic development were more likely to support practices that respected differing political perspectives. This finding demonstrates that civic leadership operates vertically: district leaders establish priorities, principals create school-level conditions, teachers facilitate learning, and students practice civic participation.

One of the most important responsibilities of civic leadership is moving schools beyond teaching civic facts toward experiential civic learning. Research on civic education consistently emphasizes the importance of opportunities for students to practice civic skills rather than simply memorize governmental structures and historical information (Cohen et al., 2021). Civic projects can involve identifying a community problem, researching its causes, examining multiple perspectives, communicating with stakeholders, developing possible solutions, and taking informed action.

School leaders can institutionalize this process by requiring every student to complete age-appropriate civic learning experiences. Examples include student government, community service, public-policy projects, school improvement initiatives, mock elections, deliberative forums, legislative simulations, partnerships with community organizations, and student-led presentations to public officials.

Recent research on district leadership reinforces this direction. District leaders increasingly envision civic learning as experiential, relevant to students' futures, and integrated across grade levels and subject areas. Many leaders specifically identify mock trials, government visits, community partnerships, and other hands-on experiences as valuable components of civic learning (Center on Reinventing Public Education, 2026). Thus, civic leadership requires administrators to allocate time, resources, professional development, and organizational support for these experiences.

Principals cannot successfully implement a civic education curriculum without developing teacher capacity. Teachers need knowledge of civic content as well as pedagogical strategies for facilitating inquiry, discussion, media analysis, and civic action. Current research indicates that professional learning frequently emphasizes standards and curricular requirements while providing less attention to civic skills, instructional resources, and strategies for facilitating controversial discussions (Chu & Keskin, 2026).

Epstein and Levy (2021) found that professional learning can influence teachers' conceptions of civic engagement and support their ability to incorporate civic education into classroom practice. Principals should therefore establish sustained professional learning communities in which teachers collaboratively design civic-learning experiences, examine student work, develop discussion strategies, and share instructional resources. Leadership support is particularly important because teachers may otherwise avoid controversial civic topics because of concerns about classroom management, community disagreement, or political polarization (Chu & Keskin, 2026).

A central responsibility of civic leadership is establishing a school culture in which students learn how to discuss controversial issues respectfully and thoughtfully. Civic reasoning requires students to examine evidence, recognize multiple perspectives, distinguish fact from opinion, construct arguments, listen to others, and revise their thinking when warranted (Lee et al., 2021). Principals can support this work by providing professional development on classroom discussion, inquiry-based learning, controversial issues, media literacy, and deliberative dialogue. They can also establish school wide expectations that encourage intellectual openness and respectful disagreement. The goal is not to eliminate disagreement but to teach students how democratic societies manage disagreement constructively (Lee et al., 2021).

Civic leadership also requires school leaders to understand that democratic education depends upon the culture of the school itself. The National Academy of Education emphasizes civic reasoning and discourse, arguing that students need opportunities to examine complex political and social issues and participate in meaningful discussion within supportive learning environments (Lee et al., 2021). Consequently, principals and district leaders should view the school as a democratic learning community, not simply as a place where civics content is delivered.

CIVIC EDUCATION IN K-12

Civic education extends beyond teaching students about the three branches of government or the Constitution. Effective civic education develops critical thinking, civic responsibility, collaboration, ethical decision-making, and democratic participation. One of the primary purposes of civic education is to promote informed citizenship.

Another important benefit of civic education is the development of critical thinking skills. Modern democracies face increasing challenges related to misinformation and the rapid spread of information through digital media. Civic education teaches students to analyze multiple perspectives, evaluate evidence, distinguish credible sources from unreliable information, and make informed decisions. These skills enable students to become thoughtful consumers of information and responsible participants in democratic processes. Inquiry-based civic instruction encourages students to investigate public issues rather than simply memorize facts, leading to deeper understanding and stronger civic commitment.

Civic education also promotes democratic values such as respect, tolerance, equality, and civic responsibility. Democratic societies depend on citizens who can engage in respectful discussions despite differences in political beliefs or cultural backgrounds. Classroom environments that encourage open dialogue help students develop empathy, communication skills, and respect for diverse opinions. Research reviewing sixty studies found that an open classroom climate is consistently associated with increased civic knowledge, political efficacy, support for human rights, and democratic participation.

Schools therefore serve as critical institutions for cultivating lifelong democratic participation. Despite its importance, civic education faces several challenges in contemporary education. Many schools devote limited instructional time to civics because of increased emphasis on standardized testing in mathematics and reading. In addition, some teachers report feeling unprepared to facilitate discussions about controversial political topics or current events. Researchers have noted that high-quality civic learning opportunities remain unevenly distributed, leaving many students without adequate preparation for democratic participation. Expanding teacher professional development, curriculum resources, and administrative support can help address these barriers.

Recent scholarship also highlights the importance of culturally responsive and inquiry-based civic instruction. Students are more likely to become engaged citizens when classroom activities connect civic concepts to their lived experiences and encourage collaborative problem-solving. Research demonstrates that inquiry-based social studies instruction strengthens students' civic commitment, appreciation for diverse perspectives, and emotional engagement with learning. These instructional approaches promote inclusive participation while reinforcing democratic principles across diverse student populations.

Strengthening civic education requires coordinated efforts among educators, policymakers, families, and communities. Schools should integrate civic learning throughout the curriculum rather than limiting instruction to a single government course. Opportunities for classroom discussion, simulations of democratic processes, service learning, media literacy instruction, and partnerships with community organizations can significantly enhance students' civic development. Additionally, professional development programs should prepare teachers to facilitate respectful discussions about controversial issues while maintaining politically neutral learning environments.

Civic education should not be restricted to a single high school government course. Contemporary approaches to civic learning emphasize the development of civic reasoning and discourse across academic disciplines. Principals can promote this interdisciplinary approach by encouraging teachers to identify authentic civic questions within their subject areas. For example, mathematics students can analyze public data, science students can examine environmental policy questions, literacy students can evaluate competing arguments, and social studies students can investigate constitutional and governmental issues. Such integration

positions civic education as a shared responsibility across the school rather than the exclusive responsibility of social studies teachers (Lee et al., 2024).

The Educating for American Democracy (EAD) Roadmap provides an especially useful framework for school leaders because it organizes K–12 civic learning around seven themes, including civic participation, constitutional government, institutional change, and contemporary debates. The framework is vertically organized across K–2, 3–5, 6–8, and 9–12 grade bands, allowing schools to develop civic knowledge and competencies progressively throughout students' educational careers (Educating for American Democracy, 2021). Importantly, the EAD framework emphasizes inquiry, student agency, civil disagreement, civic friendship, and informed action rather than political indoctrination.

Civic leadership provides school and district leaders with a powerful framework for transforming civic education into a central component of K–12 schooling. Effective civic leadership extends beyond teaching government and history. It requires leaders to establish a democratic vision, develop teacher capacity, ensure equitable access to civic learning, create opportunities for student voice and participation, and connect classroom learning to authentic community issues.

The central principle is that students learn democracy not only by studying it but also by experiencing it. Schools that integrate civic knowledge, civic reasoning, dialogue, student agency, and civic action can prepare young people to become informed, capable, and responsible participants in their communities. For educational leaders, civic education should therefore be understood not as an isolated curriculum initiative but as a school wide leadership responsibility and a fundamental purpose of public education.

CONCLUSION

Despite its recognized importance, civic education faces several challenges in many K–12 schools. Standardized testing requirements often prioritize mathematics and reading while reducing instructional time devoted to civics and social studies. Additionally, many educators report feeling insufficiently prepared to facilitate discussions about controversial political issues or current events. Limited professional development and inconsistent curriculum standards have resulted in unequal access to high-quality civic education across schools and districts. Researchers recommend expanding teacher preparation, improving instructional resources, and integrating civic education throughout multiple grade levels rather than confining it to a single government course in high school.

One of the most significant contributions of civic education is the development of informed citizens. Democratic governments require individuals who understand voting procedures, constitutional rights, public institutions, and the rule of law. Without this knowledge, citizens may struggle to evaluate political information or recognize misinformation. Civic education equips students with the analytical skills necessary to examine evidence, distinguish credible information from false claims, and make informed decisions regarding political and social issues. These competencies are especially important in the digital age, where social media allows misinformation to spread rapidly. By teaching media literacy alongside civic knowledge, schools help students become thoughtful participants in democratic society.

Another important benefit of civic education is its ability to strengthen democratic values. Successful democracies depend upon citizens who respect individual rights, equality, justice, tolerance, and the peaceful resolution of disagreements. Classroom discussions, debates, and collaborative projects encourage students to appreciate differing viewpoints while developing empathy and communication skills. Rather than promoting a particular political ideology, civic education encourages students to evaluate multiple perspectives using evidence and respectful dialogue. These experiences help prepare students to participate constructively in democratic institutions throughout their lives.

Civic education also promotes civic engagement by encouraging students to participate in activities that improve their communities. Service-learning projects, student government, volunteer opportunities, and community partnerships allow students to connect classroom learning with real-world experiences. Research indicates that students who participate in civic learning activities during elementary and secondary education are more likely to vote, volunteer, attend public meetings, and engage in community leadership as adults. These experiences foster a sense of civic responsibility while demonstrating that individual actions can contribute to positive social change. Schools therefore serve as essential institutions for cultivating lifelong democratic participation.

Critical thinking represents another cornerstone of effective civic education. Students must learn how to analyze complex issues, interpret multiple sources of information, and evaluate competing arguments before forming conclusions. Civic education encourages inquiry-based learning that emphasizes questioning, evidence evaluation, and reasoned discussion rather than memorization alone. These instructional strategies prepare students to navigate increasingly complex political environments while reducing susceptibility to misinformation and manipulation. As democratic societies become more interconnected through digital communication, critical thinking has become an indispensable civic competency.

Strengthening civic education requires collaboration among educators, policymakers, families, and community organizations. Schools should provide students with opportunities to analyze current events, participate in simulations of democratic processes, engage in service-learning, and develop media literacy skills. Classroom environments should encourage respectful dialogue while maintaining political neutrality and promoting evidence-based reasoning. Integrating civic learning across subjects—including history, English language arts, and social studies—can reinforce democratic principles throughout students' educational experiences.

These approaches help students understand that citizenship extends beyond voting to include community involvement, civic responsibility, and lifelong learning.

In my opinion, civic education serves as the beacon of democracy by preparing students to become informed, responsible, and engaged citizens. Democratic societies rely upon citizens who possess civic knowledge, critical thinking skills, ethical values, and a commitment to public participation. K–12 schools play an indispensable role in developing these competencies through meaningful civic instruction and authentic learning experiences. As democracies continue to confront polarization, misinformation, and declining civic participation, strengthening civic education has become more important than ever. Investing in comprehensive civic education is an investment in the future stability, resilience, and success of democratic society.

Educational leaders have a significant responsibility to prepare students for active participation in democratic society. By creating a shared vision for civic learning, supporting teachers through professional development, fostering open classroom climates, encouraging community partnerships, and promoting inclusive democratic values, school leaders strengthen both civic education and democratic participation. Effective civic education prepares students not only for academic success but also for responsible citizenship, ethical leadership, and lifelong civic engagement. As democratic societies continue to face complex social and political challenges, educational leaders remain essential in ensuring that schools cultivate knowledgeable, thoughtful, and engaged citizens capable of sustaining democracy for future generations.

Civic leadership represents an important dimension of contemporary school leadership because public schools have responsibilities that extend beyond academic achievement to the preparation of informed and engaged citizens. School principals are uniquely positioned to make civic education a school-wide priority by establishing a civic mission, protecting instructional time, supporting teachers, integrating civic reasoning across disciplines, facilitating student voice, developing community partnerships, and providing authentic opportunities for civic participation. Current evidence demonstrates that the primary challenge is no longer simply placing civics in the curriculum; it is creating the conditions for students to practice civic knowledge and skills through dialogue, inquiry, community engagement, and civic action (Chu & Keskin, 2026). Therefore, effective principals should view civic education not as an isolated social studies initiative but as a central component of educational leadership and the democratic mission of K–12 public education (NAESP, 2023; Lee et al., 2021).

AI ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author declares that generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were not used in any way to prepare, write, or complete essential authoring tasks in this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Center on Reinventing Public Education. (2026). *From vision to practice: How district leaders would improve civic learning*. https://crpe.org/vision-practice-how-district-leaders-would-improve-civic-learning/
2. Chu, L., & Keskin, A. K. (2026). *A mile wide, an inch deep: The state of civic learning in U.S. school districts*. Center on Reinventing Public Education.
3. Cohen, A. K., Pope, A., IV, & Wong, K. K. (2021). Civic education and civic capacity in public schools: The state of the field and directions for the future. *Peabody Journal of Education, 96*(3), 229–234. https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2021.1958633
4. Dewey, J. (1959). Dewey on education. Teachers College Press.
5. Dewey, J. (1899). The school and society: Being three lectures. University of Chicago Press.
6. Dewey, J. (1896a). A pedagogical experiment. In The early works of John Dewey, 1882–1898 (Vol. 5, pp. 244–246). Southern Illinois University Press.
7. Eidhof, B., & de Ruyter, D. (2022). Citizenship, self-efficacy and education: A conceptual review. Theory and Research in Education, 20(1), 64–82. https://doi.org/10.1177/14778785221093313
8. Educating for American Democracy. (2021). *The roadmap to educating for American democracy*. https://www.educatingforamericandemocracy.org/the-roadmap/
9. Epstein, S. E., & Levy, B. L. M. (2021). Learning to teach for civic engagement: Opportunities and constraints for three teachers in one urban elementary school. Teachers College Record, 123(13), 1–28.
10. Fitzgerald, J. C., Cohen, A. K., Maker Castro, E., & Pope, A. (2021). A systematic review of the last decade of civic education research in the United States. Peabody Journal of Education, 96(3), 235–246. https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2021.1942703
11. Kahne, J., Rogers, J., & Kwako, A. (2025). The new politics of education and the pursuit of a diverse democracy. *AERA Open*. https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584241311819

12. Lee, C. D., White, G., & Dong, D. (Eds.). (2021). *Educating for civic reasoning and discourse*. National Academy of Education. https://doi.org/10.31094/2021/2
13. Lee, C. D., Nasir, N. S., & Immordino-Yang, M. H. (2024). *Civic reasoning and discourse across the curriculum: Introduction to the practitioner report series*. National Academy of Education. https://doi.org/10.31094/2024/3/1
14. Martens, A. M., & Gainous, J. (2013). Civic education and democratic capacity: How do teachers teach and what works? Social Science Quarterly, 94(4), 956–976
15. Mayhew, K. C., & Edwards, A. C. (1966). The Dewey school: The laboratory school of the University of Chicago, 1896–1903. Atherton Press.
16. National Association of Elementary School Principals. (2023). *Educating for civic engagement*. https://www.naesp.org/resource/educating-for-civic-engagement/
17. Sáez Palmero, A. (2001). Historia de la Educación Cívica en Cuba de 1899 hasta 1989 [Doctoral dissertation, Instituto Superior Pedagógico de Camagüey].
18. Savage, C., & Ikoma, S. (2025). Access to civics content and evidence-based instructional approaches in U.S. schools. *Large-scale Assessments in Education, 13*, Article 25. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-025-00257-8